1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	JAN I. GOLDSMITH, City Attorney DANIEL F. BAMBERG, Assistant City Attorney KEITH W. PHILLIPS, Deputy City Attorney California State Bar No. 190664 Office of the City Attorney 1200 Third Avenue, Suite 1100 San Diego, California 92101-4100 Telephone: (619) 533-5800 Facsimile: (619) 533-5856 KPhillips@sandiego.gov Attorneys for Defendant City of San Diego  JAMES C. MITCHELL, ESQ. (Bar No. 87151) DANIEL M. GILLEON, ESQ. The Gilleon Law Firm 1320 Columbia Street, Suite 200 San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: (619) 702-8623 Facsimile: (619) 702-6337 Attorneys for Plaintiffs Michelle Davis, Nicole Johnson and Claudia Ariza  KEVIN M. OSTERBERG, ESQ. (Bar No. 138760) Haight Brown & Bonesteel LLP 3750 University Ave., Suite 560 Riverside, CA 92501 Telephone: (951) 341-8300 Facsimile: (951) 341-8309 Attorney for Defendant Christopher Hays		
16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
17	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
18 19 20 21	MICHELLE DAVIS; NICOLE JOHNSON, CLAUDIA ARIZA,  Plaintiffs,  V.  CITY OF SAN DIEGO, a municipal  Plaintiffs,  Case No. 14cv1488 DMS (DHB)  JOINT MOTION FOR STAY  PENDING CRIMINAL TRIAL AND  DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  INVESTIGATION OF  DEFENDANT CHRISTOPHER R.  HAYS		
<ul><li>22</li><li>23</li><li>24</li></ul>	corporation; CHRISTOPHER R. HAYS, )  Defendants.  Defendants.  Defendants.  Defendants.  Trial:  Hon. Dana M. Sabraw 13A Not Set		
25	The parties, through this joint motion, by and through their respective		
26	counsel, and pursuant to CivLR 12.1, request that this Court stay this civil action		
27	pending the outcome of Defendant Christopher Hays' criminal trial and the		
28	Department of Justice's ("DOJ") investigation of Christopher Hays.		
	Doc. No. 839535		

14cv1488 DMS (DHB)

Good cause exists to stay the civil action until the conclusion of Hays' criminal trial and the DOJ's investigation of Hays for the following reasons:

- 1. WHEREAS Defendant Christopher Hays is being criminally prosecuted by the San Diego County District Attorney's Office and is being investigated by the U.S. Department of Justice, the parties acknowledge Christopher Hays' fifth amendment privilege against self-incrimination is fully implicated in this civil matter. *Keating v. Office of Thrift Supervision*, 45 F.3d 322, 324 (9th Cir. 1995). Thus, Defendant Hays cannot admit or deny the facts in Plaintiffs' Complaint, and must exercise his fifth amendment privilege regarding Plaintiffs' claims;
- 2. WHEREAS the criminal trial of Defendant Hays is set for November 12, 2014;
- 3. WHEREAS it is anticipated that the review of the San Diego Police Department, including the claims against Defendant Hays, by the Department of Justice will be completed by approximately December 2014, with another 60 to 90 days to complete the investigation report, especially given the holidays;
- 4. WHEREAS the parties acknowledge the Plaintiffs have an interest in proceeding expeditiously with this litigation, the parties also acknowledge their belief that once the criminal trial and DOJ's investigation of Hays are complete, the parties are in a better position to respond to the Plaintiffs' allegations, conduct discovery, and potentially negotiate a resolution of this action; furthermore, the anticipated delay is only expected through December of 2014, which the parties acknowledge is reasonable given the circumstances; *Keating*, 45 F.3d at 325;
- 5. WHEREAS the parties also acknowledge there is great prejudice to Defendant Hays by forcing him to exercise his fifth amendment privilege with regard to Plaintiffs' claims herein, and to Defendant City by its inability to proffer the testimony of a key witness to the allegations alleged in the Complaint, *Id.*;

///

cases, would exercise efficient use of its judicial resources by postponing the dates

in this civil action, especially the Early Neutral Evaluation Conference wherein the

parties could negotiate the merits of the case fairly and on the merits, as opposed to

advocacy for their respective clients, which resulted in fair settlements without the

need for protracted judicial intervention, because the parties were able to negotiate

based upon the merits of those cases, i.e., after Arevalos was criminally convicted;

criminal allegations is not being interrupted by the stay because the criminal case is

acknowledge that Defendant Hays is not a "danger to the public", as he is no longer

WHEREAS the stay would not interfere with any interest of any

WHEREAS the interest of the public in seeing the resolution of the

The parties acknowledge the factors to be balanced as articulated in

numerous contingencies to be decided in the criminal trial(s) of Hays, *Id.*;

several of the Anthony Arevalos cases with a history of zealous and ethical

person(s) not parties to this civil litigation, as the Defendants have not yet

continuing, as well as the DOJ's investigation. Id. Furthermore, the parties

Keating, 45 F.3d at 325, weigh in favor of a stay of this civil action.

WHEREAS the parties believe that the Court, in its management of its

WHEREAS the attorneys in this civil action were also attorneys in

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

responded to the Complaint; Id.

employed by the San Diego Police Department;

6

10 11

**12** 

**13** 14

> 15 16

17

18

19 20

21

///

///

///

///

///

///

/ / /

///

22

23

24

25 **26** 

27

**28** 

Doc. No. 839535

1	Accordingly, the parties respectfully request that the Court stay this civil		
2	action until the conclusion of Defendant Hays' criminal trial, and until the DOJ		
3	investigation is complete. Further, the parties respectfully request the Court set a		
4	status conference for some time in December 2014.		
5	I, Keith Phillips, certify that I have made a true and correct copy of this		
6	pleading available to counsel for the Plaintiffs, James C. Mitchell, and counsel for		
7	Co-Defendant Christopher Hays, Kevin M. Osterberg, who have both authorized		
8	me to electronically sign this pleading on their behalf according to the Electronic		
9	Case Filing Administrative Policies and Procedures Manual for the United States		
10	District Court for the Southern District of California § 2(f)(4).		
11	STIPULATED TO BY ALL PARTIES:		
12	Dated: August 15, 2014	JAN I. GOLDSMITH, City Attorney	
13		By /s/ KEITH W. PHILLIPS	
14		Keith W. Phillips	
15		Deputy City Attorney KPhillips@sandiego.gov Attorneys for Defendant City of San	
16		Diego	
17	Dated: August 15, 2014	THE GILLEON LAW FIRM	
18	II		
19		By <u>/s/ JAMES C. MITCHELL</u> James C. Mitchell	
20		icm@mglawyers.com Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
21		Michelle Davis; Nicole Johnson; Claudia Ariza	
22		Ciaudia / Iliza	
23	Dated: August 15, 2014	HAIGHT BROWN & BONESTEEL	
24		By _/s/ KEVIN M. OSTERBERG	
25		Kevin M. Osterberg kosterberg@hbblaw.com	
26		Attorneys for Co-Defendant Christopher R. Hays	
27		Carlotophol It. Huju	
28			
	Doc. No. 839535	4	